## LETTER

TO

### THOMAS PAYNE, Ese;

#### WRITTEN

IN CONSEQUENCE OF THE ONE LATELY ADDRESSED BY HIM TO

#### MR SECRETARY DUNDAS.

- " No Sow-gelder did blow his horn
- " To geld a cat, but cry'd REFORM;
- " And felt fuch bowel-hankerings
- " To fee an empire ALL OF KINGS;
- " Deliver'd from th' Ægyptian awe
- " Of justice, government, and LAW."

HUDIBRAS.

#### EDINBURGH:

PRINTED FOR WILLIAM CREECH.

M,DCC,XCII.

# 1609/5496.

OT

# THOMAS PAYNE, Eso.

Geous ge tandem abbrüse, Cathena, pate teter incomes i kultämbiu eterm furor istr tede nos eluber i godm, ab finam süse iselukta) soluber edulacie.

-Cir. in Cas.

Koinenber 26 June 1792

STR

I. Beg lesse to trouble you with a few words on the jubject of, your letter to life Dundas of the field fine current. If I adge right, this letter was written in confequence of your feeling burt at the debates in Parliament, and proclamation for the suppressing of their and proclamation pamphlets; and, of course, you meants



# THOMAS PAYNE, Esq.

QUOUSQUE TANDEM ABUTERE, CATILINA, PATI-ENTIA NOSTRA? QUAMDIU ETIAM FUROR ISTE TUUS NOS ELUDET? QUEM AD FINEM SESE EF-FRENATA JACTABIT AUDACIA?

THE TAX OF A STANKE AND A STANKE AND A STANKE ASSESSMENT

-Cic. in Cat.

Edinburgh, 26 June 1792.

I BEC leave to trouble you with a few words on the subject of your letter to Mr Dundas of the 6th June current. If I judge right, this letter was written in consequence of your feeling hurt at the debates in Parliament, and proclamation for the suppressing of libels and feditious pamphlets; and, of course, you meant,

by writing it, to defend your Rights of Man from such an imputation.

Such being the case, I am naturally led to examine your defence; but, before going to particulars, it is necessary that we fhould be at one with respect to what is meant by a libel or feditious pamphlet. I shall therefore, in the view of coming together, put the question: " Should a bankrupt alien go to Ameri-" ca, and write with the avowed pur-" pose of overturning the system of go-" vernment there, and of new-model-" ling it according to his own fanciful " ideas, would you call fuch production " a feditious libel?"-You cannot anwer in the negative, as your opinion is that it is the best of possible governments; you must therefore answer in the affirmative.

Now we are at one;—and a feditious publication

publication is that which is written with the express design of overturning any one system of government; for it would be an insult on common sense and the rights of mankind, to say that all nations should confine themselves to one unique constitution, let their internal circumstances or peculiar situations be what they would.

If this is true, Mr Payne, and which you neither do nor can deny, What are your pamphlets but libels,—feditious in the extreme? What must every citizen of the world that is endowed with sound sense and reason think of you?—What must an Englishman, an Irishman, or a Scotsman, think of an alien, as I believe you are, who attempts to overturn a system of government that has stood the test of ages?—For an itinerant political quack to pretend to more sound sense and judgment than all the inhabi-

A 2

tants

tants of the British isles put together, and to dictate his own fanciful form of government to them, is in the highest degree assuming. Do you really think, Sir, that seven or eight millions of people will submit to pin their political faith to your sleeve, or that we shall any longer suffer indignities and insults from your pen?—I hope we have more sense of our own honour and understandings than to be led by the nose, as an ignorant mob some years ago was, by the speeches and writings of a person perhaps not more insane than you.

A LIBEL or feditious paper can only exist in established governments. Whatever is published against any regular system of government is, to all intents and purposes, seditious. I must acknowledge, however, that no libel or feditious pamphlet can exist in a country, such as France, where king Mob rules, a kingdom

favages, robbers, an unruly mob, or bedlamites. It can only have its existence in a country where the people have chosen a particular form of government; and where that form of government is sought to be overturned, either by one of their own number, or by some itinerant political quack.

I no not know whether you pretend to be a favage, a robber, a mobite, or a bed-lamite; but fure I am, that, if you are not one of them, you must entertain the idea that you are writing and dictating to people under some one or all of those descriptions; otherwise you durst not obtrude your opinions upon three great and polished nations now most intimately linked together; nor would you have ventured to dictate to them, had you not taken them for such, or at least for fools. Some one of all of those descriptions

criptions are no doubt among us; but, I bless God, that the far greater part of us are endowed with found sense and reason, and will despise your writings as the ravings of an insolent insane, who, for his immoralities, being despised in America, has been necessitated to come to the eastward in quest of adventures.

While you was in France, Mr Payne, your rights of man could be no libel, as there king Mob is in his glory: but you ought to have confidered that Britain is in a different fituation. How would you reprobate, as libels, the writings of any travelling political apostle that would dare to attempt an overturn of the American constitution, although a system of yesterday;—multo magis will every virtuous citizen, and such there are in America as well as here, execrate your writings as tending to overturn our constitution—a constitution that has stood the

test of ages. Do you think we have no greater nor wifer men among us than you? No, we are three great nations of fools, who knew nothing about the rights of men, till you, our political apostle, came, like another Mahomet, among us, to enlighten us, and to coble or newmodel our constitution, which, as a quack who wants enployment, you pretend is out of all order.—Indeed, to a person of your circumstances, a job must be very material.

In your letter or defence, you set out with giving us your creed in these words:

"I do not believe that there are to be found in the writings of any author, ancient or modern, on the subject of gowernment, a spirit of greater benignity, and a stronger inculcation of moral principles, than in those which I have published." That is, you prove by yourself, and you can prove by none greater,

that

that your writings are not feditious; but on the contrary, for benignity and inculcation of moral principles, they are the best ever were written. So that you are not merely contented with overturning our fystem of government, but mean at the fame time to overturn our religion itself. We are now taught by our new apostle, Mr Payne, to despise the tenets and moral principles of the Old and New Testament as inimical to his fystem. The rights of man is a new fubflitute for the Holy Bible, which has idly told us, " to give to Cæfar that which is Cæfar's:" and our holy religion is at once overturned with our constitution. But know, Mr Payne, that altho, in these kingdoms, there may be a few of your brother infidels, yet the bulk of the people will neither allow their religion nor their constitution to be trampled upon with impunity.

You next advertise yourself as a con-

structor of governments; and no doubt a man in your line must puff it away, like other quacks, to procure trade. Your writings, you fay, come from a man who, " by having lived in different countries, " and under different fystems of govern-" ment, and who being intimate in the con-" struction of them, is a better judge of the " fubject than those wanting your op-" portunities." Here you exhibit yourfelf as a cobler of constitutions, posting thro' different countries and different fystems of government in the line of your business, puffing away and advertising every where. The last job you did was in France: how you was paid for it, I know not; but fure I am you did not get what you deferved. By the bye, Mr Cobler, what kind of constitution have you erected there? I am afraid it is entirely of the negative kind: we hear of nothing but mifrule and diforder from that quarter; and thousands have lost their

their lives since your work began; for my own part, I could discover nothing there like a system of government, unless you call that a system where the demons of discord and disorder are allowed to ride triumphant, with their national cockades in their hats, i. e. your Rights of Man.

In short, Mr self-taught Cobler, we can say nothing in praise of your work; and we do not wish to be gulled out of our money by employing you, and perhaps getting some of ourselves butchered to the bargain. In the name of wonder, why come here seeking employment,—where every man sits under his own vine, and under his own sig-tree? You'll get better jobbing in Russia and Turkey than with us: or why not try Spain, the paternal country of your great, great grand uncle Don Quixote de la Mancha,

INDEED, Sir, if you had been poffeffed of principle, and had known any thing of religion and virtue, you would have employed your abilities in your beloved country of America. Why not attempt to amend the constitutions of the Indians of the back fettlements? Why not form an alliance between the states and them, rather than with France and England, distant countries? Would it not be more for your honour, and the interest of humanity, to construct a system among favages that are fo frequently engaged in murdering your fellow-citizens of America, than fow fedition and discord here, and attempt to overturn the government of a free and generous people, that have fo long allowed a wandering and unprincipled libertine to live with impunity among them, while his employment is of all others the most ungenerous, i. e. that of fowing fedition among his benefactors, and of kindling a like flame here with

B 2

that

that which, just now, consumes a neighbouring kingdom?

It is true, a flame is nothing to you;—you are but a traveller, amufing yourself with the dust you kick up: but what is fun and amusement to you may be destruction to us. Mais, ma pardon, I should not have said that the fire you wish to kindle would be confined to your amusement alone; you have something more material in view: in the confusion of a fire there are generally some fine pickings; and no doubt you and your nimble finger'd brethren will not be idle.

WITH the designed purpose of imposing upon the weak and credulous, you tell us your instructions "come from a heart "that knows not how to beguile." O modest apostle, we must believe you, because, like Mahomet, you have said it! I wish you could make all your creditors, relalatives latives and brethren of America, believe as much of your heart. Your Rights of Man cannot possibly be a libel, or written with a bad intention, because you yourself have said it proceeds from a heart that knows not to beguile. Your defence is unanswerable!!!—Our apostle infallible, and so says your apostolical brother of Rome.

You next also advert to Mr Adam's speech. He, it seems, had said that your publications "reviled what is most fa"cred in the constitution, destroying "every principle of subordination, and "establishing nothing in their room."—
Mr Adam, you say, had not read the second part of our new Bible, the Rights of Man. This I believe.—A person of his sound sense and judgment, after reading the first part, wou'd not give himself much trouble about the second. You say, that when he talk'd about something in the

the constitution that was most facred, " you hope he did not mean hereditary " fuccession, a thing which appears to you a violation of every order of nature and " common fense." Here, again, because in your opinion hereditary fuccession is a violation of nature, we must think the same. or be infulted. If any man is weak enough to pin his political creed to the tail of your opinion, he may if he chufes; but, for my part, I affure you I shall never think fo meanly of myself, nor shall I, while I have my senses, prefer a constitution founded upon the opinion and theory of Mr Payne, and which has already produced fuch dreadful diforders in another kingdom, to a constitution founded on the experience and popular opinion of ages.

If it is hereditary succession that is meant by Mr Adam, I must join with him; for, if you wish to destroy the hereditary ditary right of succession of our kings, you may, and certainly mean, upon the same principles, to cut off the hereditary right of succession of the Prince of Wales, and our nobility, and of course all our private rights of succession to our estates, whether real or personal. If this is not reviling what is most sacred, destroying every principle of subordination, and setting up nothing in their room, Heave every body to judge.

You tell us, and you challenge any man to refute it, "that there does not exist a right "to establish hereditary government;" or, in other words, that no nation can at any period chuse a government for themselves without your concurrence. If, however, as you tell us in the next page, "the people who are to live afterwards "have always the same right to choose a government for themselves as the people had who lived before them," it follows

follows of confequence, that the people who lived before us had equally a right to choose their form of government, and certainly the choosing of a hereditary one existed in them-and the people in America have a right to do fo now if they have a mind. Nay, I do not know but fome day or other fuch a thing may take place among them; -their government is of yesterday, and is by no means in a state of stability. Our nation, from long experience, has in general approved of, and continued hereditary fuccession. The experience of ages has confirmed it to be the fafest. It prevents all civil diffentions on the death of a fovereign, and produces a regular and continued form of government, which, in contrary circumstances, it never could do, as may be obvious to every body that thinks upon the subject. In some instances the nation has done otherways, and no doubt may do fo still should the exigencies of the state require it.

As to what you fay of bad and weak kings,-It can only bear a reference to despotic governments. In Britain a king holds simply a part, -- not the whole reins of government:---In fhort, Sir, you do not understand our system .- A despot may do ill if he is a bad or weak man, but our constitution will not allow a British king to do so. He cannot do so were he fo inclined. A cunning and defigning prince, fuch a one as you yourfelf would be, might attempt mischief, as you are doing at present, although not yet a king; but thanks to God we have a constitution that would and will counteract fuch attempts. Your remark, indeed, might answer in France, both while it had Louis XVI. a despot, and now while it has king Mob, still more despotie; but it cannot bear the smallest reference to a king of the British islands. In forme inflances the nation has done

You tell us, in pursuance of your infamous

mous defigns, that the focial ties which bind us in fociety are the chains of political superstition; and in the view of stirring up a tumultuous mob, you tell them " those chains are diffolving fast, and prosecutions will serve but to hasten that dissolution." And with a determined purpose to incite riot and confusion, you conclude your letter with faying, " that the ap-" provers and protectors of your work, (that " is, certain descriptions of men) now " feel the immediate impulse of bonour added " to that of national interest." This, to be fure, is speaking to some of us, and fpeaking too to the purpose. The robber, the libertine, the weak, the wicked. and the bankrupt, are all fummoned to your standard; and some, no doubt, will attend it with pleasure: their honour, i. e. your bonour, is hurt, because your new fubstitute for the Bible is proscribed. I myfelf once faw the honour of fome thieves highly infulted, by the magistrate

Arate having ordered fome goods faved from a fire to be furrounded by a party of foldiers.

A GOOD citizen, conscious of virtue and the rectitude of the reforms he proposed, would inculcate them in a very different manner. He would tell the people that such were his opinions, and that if they appeared in the same light to them, they ought to petition Parliament and instruct their members; and leave it to the great court of the nation, the representatives of the people, to decide upon it.

A MAN who endeavours to rouse a mob, is of all men the most dangerous to society;—he must either have interested views, be mad, or infamously wicked. In short, Sir, you put me in mind of the monkey in the sable, that, wishing to have the chesnut, and not daring to put its own paw into the sire to take

it, thrust in the cat's paw, as you wish to do the mob's; and the mob too, like the cat, may be burnt, while you, unhurt, enjoy the only benefit. You certainly have felfish and ambitious views, Mr Payne. It is true that only the weak, the wicked, or mad, will liften to you; yet fuch may do a world of ill, as was the case in Lord George Gordon's mob. People of weak understandings, although they frequently possess very good hearts, are eafily mislead. They are often led away by defigning people, or by a madman, as in the above instance, and which also may be the case here. A tinselled hazel rod is more efteemed by the weak than the finest cane; and a few flowery words, uttered by a madman or a defigning person, will often bring more applause than all the proverbs of Solomon.

You next assume to yourself the honour of establishing the American government; vernment; at least, you tell us that it is established upon your Rights of Man; and hold it up as your ideal government put into practice --- a fystem of government, you fay, better administered than any government in the world. But is it a government of that nature, as to continue stable and fixed ?-- Can you fay of it " there is a rose newly blown of my " rearing, that will never fade as other " roses do." I believe, Sir, that it is the test of ages, not of 15 or 16 years, that must stamp character and stability upon a government. Nor am I yet convinced that the American government originated from your Rights of Man. I do not however call it a bad fystem ;--it is too like our own to be called fo: nor can I call it a good one, till it has been tried by the test of experience; but I may use here an expression similar to what Sir James Melville faid to Queen Elizabeth,

that

that if yours is the best government in America, ours is the best in Europe.

in in Americas where you are

As to the difference of the government, expences, and taxes of the two countries, it is hardly worth the answering. Money, trade, and manufactures, are a great deal more plentiful and prevalent in Britain than in America, and the inhabitants more generous-Besides, our national debt and taxes are daily upon the decrease, and will still continue to be fo, unless you should obtain your wish, and by raising a slame in the country, destroy our great resources, manufattures and trade. But farther, I believe the people, at least of those parts of our islands that possess a tolerable climate; i. e. England and Ireland, live much eafier and happier under their heavy taxes. than the Americans under their smaller ones; and of this thou thyfelf, Mr Payne, art a proof. Why, in the name of wonder

der, do you reside here, where you are burdened with insupportable taxes, rather than in America where you are free !-- O Mr Payne, Mr Payne, your cloven foot is discovered. You either find that you can supply your wants at less expence with us than in America; or know, that your vice and immorality have banished you that country.

As for the penfion-lift I do acknowledge it is very confiderable, although not more fo than formerly. The English are remarkable for being a generous people, and our ministry are Englishmen. You cannot, however, fay that the money for the most part is ill bestowed .---You hint, indeed, of an offer of L. 1000 that was made to you for the copyright of the Rights of Man. I do confess I think this would have been very ill beflowed ;--but from the manner and place in which this circumstance is introduced, I shrewdly

der.

I shrewdly suspect it has not been done without some little design upon the pension-list. Daring libels like yours, and by needy persons like you, have often been published with similar views; and should the minority take yourhint, which God forbid, they, by increasing their pension-list, would reduce it to one half at a stroke. What would you think of this saving, Mr Apostle?

You tell us, p. 13, and, as usual, refer us to your own Bible, the Rights of Man, where you have, you say, estimated the poors rates of England at two millions annually. These poors rates you wish to abolish; and, in lieu thereof, remit four millions out of the surplus taxes of government, after answering your ideal purposes of it, for the use of the poor of England;—that is, the Scottish portion of the taxes is to go to relieve England of its poor without any equivalent: or, in other

others word, Scotsmen are not included in your Rights of Man. hon-lift Daring libels li

As to your whimfical proposition of an alliance with France and America; if you were an honest and well meaning citizen of the states, and really had the interest of that country or ours at heart, it is morally impossible you would ever think of joining order with confusiona regular government to an unruly mob. You yourfelf, at this moment, know full well the distracted situation of France, and can have no good motive in propofing this alliance. Indeed, I must acknowledge that the French fystem of mifrule being a child of your own, and founded on the principles of the rights of man, must always be near your heart; and perhaps, like the devil, you are best pleased with the country where all laws divine and human are trampled upon. its poor without an

D

Your

andrediftans.

Your letter to Mr Dundas, Sir, cannot be called a defence of your writings; it appears to me rather to be a studied infult upon the good fense of the nation. The individuals of every well regulated fociety respect and honour the heads of the fociety, as, in other words, it is respecting themselves; and any member that vilifies the head and office-bearers of that fociety offers an infult to every individual of the fociety. I am aware, however, that where no order exists, there is no society, and of course no insult, no sedition, nor feditious pamphlet. The three kingdoms compose one great society or nation, and thanks to God, that, spite of Mr Payne, we are yet in a state of order and good government; nor has the amor patriæ entirely left our country. We will not all tamely subscribe to the insults of a mendicant cobler of constitutions.

As I esteem and venerate the constitu-

tion of my country-a constitution not of yesterday-not founded upon the wild ideas of a fingle itinerant quack, but upon the broad base of ages of experience and popular opinion-I fay, I cannot, in filence, allow you to attack and vilify it in your feditious libels-You vilify our constitution-you vilify our king. You talk of king, or majesty, or madjesty, thereby fneering at the whole nation, as if you deem'd us all a parcel of fools, for daring to call the head of our constitution king; because forsooth you would chuse to give him another name, or as if nomina mutant rem. You are every now and then talking of MrPitt's boyish administration-of his extorting revenue, and of his boafting how much. Thus you substitute infults for reasoning and verbage for facts. An indignity put upon our constitution, our king, and his ministers, we hold as an indignity and infult offered to every one of us.

antilition and airraid 2 one mentle Y Alf

Ir you admire your self-created governments of America and France, why not live in these countries. Your immoralities may bar you from the one, but in France, at least, you are admired; thither fly, my friend-take my advice, lest a worse thing befall you. It will tend more to your honour to live in a government of your own making, than to refide and contract debts here without the honest means of re-payment, other than the produce of ungrateful infults offered to the very people among whom you pick up your subsistence. modulinos bus

You have the effrontery to refer Mr Adam to what you have faid in your works as to abolishment of particular taxes, as if no knowledge was lodged any where else than in your books. Know then, Mr Payne, that some of those very taxes you mention, and many others, liftmen to comprehend or fee

lished as occasion and circumstances occur; and such abolition will take place, altho' the name of Payne had never been heard of. Any reform necessary will also be made gradually, and step by step: but God forbid that our legislature should coalesce with your wild ideas, and all at once put an end to a constitution that we know from experience is a good one; for one that in our neghbouring kingdom exhibits daily, and almost before our eyes, the bloody marks of anarchy and confusion.

In short, Sir, you write as if the British islands were entirely composed of ignorant and wicked men, without a single man of sense or of principle. Impressed with these ideas, you have travelled to illuminate the British hemisphere—to tell us what was impossible for the brains of Englishmen to comprehend or see through.

through. You arrogate to yourfelf the formation of American and French governments and you arrogantly plume yourself that, by the force of your perfuafive pen, you shall teach these unenlightened kingdoms to drop a fystem that has flood the test of ages, and adopt that of a miserable bankrupt, who entertains the mad idea of being one day put at the head of his new and bleffed fystem-a fystem much to be longed after, especially when we confider the beauty and harmony of the government that fubfifts in a neighbouring kingdom, founded upon the principles of our new political atrose to enlighten mankind andslitog

THE purport of your letter, you say, is to justify yourself from the charge Mr Adam has made. He considers the writings of Harrington, More, and Hume, as justifiable publications; and he accuses you of endeavouring to confuse, instead

mus day

gued with deceners. While you publish

instead of producing a fystem in the room of that which you have reasoned against.

fusitive pen, you diall teach their enen-

MR HARRINGTON, Sir Thomas More. and Mr Hume, reasoned philosophically upon the fubject, nor did they ever aim, like you, to spirit up a mob; they never framed addresses and declarations for focieties, met with the intention of disturbing the peace of the nation, nor eyer had the effrontery of openly reviling the laws and constitution of their country; they calmly and modeftly strove to enlighten mankind, and argued with decency. While you publish your feditious pamphlet with impudence, as if oracular—revile the constitution propose to overturn it—and anxiously propagate your abfurd ideas, with the view not of instructing, but of inciting the unthinking crowd. How different from you is the modest Montesquieu, to whom

whom you can no more be compared, in matter of politics, than I to Hercules.— After praising the British constitution, he adds, "Neither do I pretend by this, to "undervalue other governments, nor to say "that this extreme political liberty ought, "to give uneasiness to those who have only a moderate share of it. How "should I have such a design, I who "think that even the excess of reason is "not always desirable; and that man"kind generally find their account better "in mediums than in extremes." Vol. I, B. II. Cap. 6.

This way of writing is too philosophical and modest to answer your purposes. You do not write to the learned and thinking part of mankind as all the above gentlemen have done; you are only pleased with extremes, and so address your writings to the fag end of society. It is to the illiterate and the wicked that

you speak, when you say in your letter, that " in any case the work (i. e. your ideal " constitution) will go on-that the ap-" provers and protectors of your works " now (i. e. fince the proclamation) feel " the immediate impulse of bonour, added to " that of national interest."--- Neither does this breathe the language of instruction; for, had you either meant to instruct the ignorant, or to tell the truth, you would have warned them of the great danger of unloofing even a fingle pin of the constitution; you would have pointed out to them the dreadful fituation to which the French have brought themselves by following your system; and you would tell them to be cautious not to hurt themselves and their honour, by avenging the affront put upon your have gentlemen hav honour. ly pleafed with extremes, and to addrels

You say, you have not only reasoned upon your new system, but gone farther;

E

and you produce the American government as an instance of the representative fystem that you wish to palm upon us. The Americans, as a new nation, of necessity were obliged to adopt some form of government, and accordingly they have assumed one that appeared to them to accord best with their dispositions and circumftances.--- This government however is as yet in the womb of experiment, and is of too fhort a standing to reason upon: At any rate, we are under no neceffity to change our fystem, nor will we listen to you, as to an oracle, and all at once overturn a government that we know is a good one, for the idle purpose of making an experiment—an experiment that has already produced fuch dreadful effects in a neighbouring kingvollas private expendeskiep nace with mob

You brag of the fmallness of the public expence and revenues of America; and

es of poverty of a country

and you make a statement that you know yourfelf to be untrue : you gloss it over, indeed, with faying, that when peace shall be concluded with the Indians, the expence will be reduced; but you do not tell fairly and honeftly that there is and has been perpetual warfare in your back settlements; and that the Americans now find that a much greater force than what they used to have must be kept up all along their extensive frontiers; fo that the public expence is already greatly increased above your statement, and is still increasing. Besides, all your fine statement goes for nothing when the great want of money among the Americans is taken into the scale. Riches, arising from our trade and manufactures, flow from all quarters of the world to Britain, while America has no fuch refources. Nationalas wellas private expenceskeep pace with the riches or poverty of a country, and a penny in a poor country will go farther

E 2 1 Inc energe than

than a shilling in a rich one. Hence the public expence of the whole of the Indian nations in America will not amount to the thousandth part of the American expence; and hence the expence of the latter is considerably less than ours; so that considering the situation, circumstan cs, and resources of both the countries, the Americans are fully as much, if not more burdened with taxes than the British.

You next blame Mr Adam for faying you wish'd to destroy every principle of subordination: This however most certainly is the case. You instigate the weak and wicked to form societies, with a view to inculcate as well as commemorate anarchy and consusion; and you boast of imposing upon them addresses and declarations inimical of subordination. You laugh at those imaginary beings, as you call them, kings and lords, and all the fraudulent trum-

pery of courts; that is, you ridicule all magistracy whatever, by which you wish effectually to destroy the principle of fubordination. Now we fear God, and honour the king, as our chief magistrate; and we deem that person unworth y of society who does not respect its office-bearers. You put us in mind of what Montesquieu says of the corruption of the principles of democracy. " The prin-" ciple of democracy is corrupted, (fays " he,) not only when the spirit of equa-" lity is extinct, but likewife when they " fall into a spirit of extreme equality, and " when every citizen wants to be upon " a level with those he has chosen to " command him. Then the people, in-" capable of bearing the very power " they have intrufted, want to do every " thing of themselves, to debate for the fe-" nate, to execute for the magistrates, and " to strip the judges of their office. When " this is the case, virtue can no longer subsist " in

" in the republic. The people want to exer-" cife the functions of the magistrates, " who cease to be revered. The delibe-" rations of the senate are slighted; all " respect is then laid aside for the sena-" tors, and confequently for old age. If " there is no more respect for old age, " there will be none foon for parents; " deference to husbands will likewise be " thrown off, and fubmission to masters. " This licentiousness will soon taint the " mind; and the restraint of command " be as fatiguing as that of obedience; " wives and children will shake off all " fubjection. No longer will there be " any fuch thing as manners or virtue." Vol. 1. B. 8. cap. 2.

In order to prove that you do not wish to destroy the principle of subordination, you adduce a paragraph from your works, which is nothing to the purpose. "Formerly (you say,) when "divi-

" divisions arose respecting government, " recourse was had to the fword, and " a civil war enfued. That favage cuf-" toin is now exploded by the new fyftem, and recourse is had to a national convention. Discussion and the gene-" ral will arbitrates the question; and " to this private opinion yields with a " good grace, and order is preserved un-" interrupted." All this is mere verbage. You fpeak of your new fystem exploding the fword and civil war, as if fuch had been enjoined by our fystem. How do you prove or can infure us against a civil war, or that order will be preserved in a confused meeting of some millions of people congregated from every quarter of the island to your ideal convention, or that the general will is always to arbitrate the question? Are you to be teller of the votes? Can you tell us how many months will be required to take them? Who is to fupply fuch a great

their travelling expences !—But it is needless to dwell any longer upon ideal nonsense.

For my part, I can prefage nothing but general confusion and, uproar from your national convention, and the idea of it recalled to my remembrance what is said in Hudibras of the tumults of last century.

" For when they came to shape the me-

government which they had to adjour-

Not one could fit another's noddle;
But found their light and gifts more wide
From fadging than th'unfanctify'd,
While every individual brother
Strove hand to fift against each other.
And still the maddest and most crack'd
Were found the busiest to transact.
For, tho' most hands dispatch apace,
And make light work (the proverb says,)
Yet

Yet many different intellects

Are found thave contrary effects,

And many heads tobstruct intrigues,

As slowest infects have most legs."

AND after all, matters would most probably end in the way Montesquieu tells us the tumults of the last century ended: "After a series of tumultuary "motions and violent shocks, they were "obliged to have recourse to the very government which they had so odious-" ly proscribed." Vol. I. B. III. Cap. 3.

By the bye, you have cut out plenty of work for your national convention. In your address and declaration palmed upon the select meeting of Friends of Universal Peace and Liberty at the Thatch'dhouse tavern, and which is bound up with your letter, you use these words: "We profess and proclaim it as our print ciple, that every nation has at all times

F " an

"an inherent, indefeasible right to con"flitute and establish such government
"for itself as best accords with its dis"position, interest, and happiness." So
that whenever any political quack wishes
for a job, he has no more ado than publish New Rights of Man in two parts, addressed to the mob, upon which a general convention meets; and no sooner matters are settled, than another quack comes
with a new system,—and then another
convention;—for at all times the nation
can use its right. This, of course, will
produce a most delightful, ambulatory,
and enviable system of government,

"Never fettled, never fure!"

If this is the fystem you wish to erect upon the ruin of our constitution, I aver with Mr Adam, that you have "revi"led what is most facred in the constitu"tion, destroyed every principle of sub"ordination, and established nothing

" but confusion in their room."

I BEG leave to conclude with repeating a ftory I recollect fome where to have read. A ship returning from America to Bourdeaux, was paffing the Tour de Corduan, and entering the Garrone; the night was dark, with fome little wind and rain; one of the feamen, either from laziness or a wicked disposition, refused to hand the fails when ordered, pretending that he was as well entitled to keep below as the captain and his mate; adding, that he was as good a feaman as the captain, --- and he did not fee but he had as good a right to be captain as he had; -- that they were all bound on the fame voyage, and ran the fame rifks; and it was ridiculous, while all were equal, that one man should lord it over the rest. The ship was foon in a ferment; and the general opinion of the moment was, that "Tom bad a great deal of reason on bis side. In vain the captain and mate strove to bring them to order; the very

F 2 feaman

seaman at the helm left it, and joined with the crew. The ship instantly rounded, and in a few minutes struck upon the Bank Mauvaise. All hands on board perished except the boy, who, being the only person that had gone aloft, was afterwards picked up by an English vessel. I acknowledge to you, Mr Payne, that reading this flory in my early years, it very much affected me. I was aftonished why the failors should fo fuddenly make up their minds, and give affent to Tom's doctrine without confidering confequences; and perhaps this very flory, which has lately been exemplified in France, has led me to think farther upon your fystem than otherwise I would have done.

THE rude manner in which you conclude your letter shall not be imitated by me. From it, and some other particular strokes in your writings, I suspect that that fomething else than your heart may be wrong. I pity every poor gentleman, and shall be willing to serve you as far as I can. I therefore am,

show your both it that lendy make

france, has led me to think farthe

fyllem than otherwif

and new doldwin mannam shut

from the said forms other parties

or Rockes in gour sweden I disper-

decline widious confidence

Dear Sir, your most obedient humble servant,

A HIGHLANDER.

Edin. June 26,}

## THE SPIRIT OF THE TIMES

danadishop .

HA E

## ADDRESS

PEOPLE OF EASTWOOD.

THE RIV STEVENHOUS MACGILL

O LAS COW, SERVER OFFICE BY W. BERD AND CO.

1102000M



